## Solutions to Assignments 04

## 1. Tensor Analysis II: the Covariant Derivative

(a) Consider the scalar $A_{\nu} V^{\nu}$ and take its covariant derivative. Since it is a scalar, its covariant and partial derivatives agree, and since both satisfy the Leibniz rule one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{\mu}\left(A_{\nu} V^{\nu}\right) & =\partial_{\mu}\left(A_{\nu} V^{\nu}\right)=A_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} V^{\nu}+V^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}  \tag{1}\\
& =A_{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} V^{\nu}+V^{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} A_{\nu}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{align*}
V^{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} A_{\nu} & =V^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}+A_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} V^{\nu}-A_{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} V^{\nu} \\
& =V^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}+A_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} V^{\nu}-A_{\nu}\left(\partial_{\mu} V^{\nu}+\Gamma_{\mu \rho}^{\nu} V^{\rho}\right) \\
& =V^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-A_{\nu} \Gamma_{\mu \rho}^{\nu} V^{\rho}=V^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-A_{\lambda} \Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda} V^{\nu}  \tag{2}\\
\Rightarrow \quad & \nabla_{\mu} A_{\nu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda} A_{\lambda}
\end{align*}
$$

the last implication following because this has to be true for any $V^{\nu}$.
(b) Since $A_{\nu^{\prime}}=J_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\nu} A_{\nu}$ and $\partial_{\mu^{\prime}}=J_{\mu^{\prime}}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} \rightarrow \partial_{\mu^{\prime}} A_{\nu^{\prime}} & =J_{\mu^{\prime}}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}\left(J_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\nu} A_{\nu}\right) \\
& =J_{\mu^{\prime}}^{\mu} J_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}+A_{\nu} J_{\mu^{\prime}}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} J_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\nu}  \tag{3}\\
& =J_{\mu^{\prime}}^{\mu} J_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}+A_{\nu} J_{\mu^{\prime} \nu^{\prime}}^{\nu}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus this is not a tensor, but since the last term is symmetric in the free indices,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mu^{\prime} \nu^{\prime}}^{\nu}=\frac{\partial^{2} x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\mu^{\prime}} \partial y^{\nu^{\prime}}}=J_{\nu^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}}^{\nu} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(partial derivatives commute), it drops out when one takes the antisymmetric part, i.e. the curl,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} \rightarrow \partial_{\mu^{\prime}} A_{\nu^{\prime}}-\partial_{\nu^{\prime}} A_{\mu^{\prime}}=J_{\mu^{\prime}}^{\mu} J_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\nu}\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the Christoffel symbols are symmetric in their lower indices, they always drop out of the anti-symmetrised derivatives of anti-symmetric covariant tensors. In the present (simplest) case of covectors, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\nabla_{\nu} A_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda} A_{\lambda}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}+\Gamma_{\nu \mu}^{\lambda} A_{\lambda}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) - Argument by direct calculation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda} & =\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda}-\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\rho} g_{\rho \lambda}-\Gamma_{\mu \lambda}^{\rho} g_{\nu \rho}  \tag{7}\\
& =\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda}-\Gamma_{\lambda \mu \nu}-\Gamma_{\nu \mu \lambda}=0
\end{align*}
$$

from the explicit form of the Christoffel symbols.

- Alternative argument: Since $\nabla_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda}$ is a tensor, we can choose any coordinate system we like to establish if this tensor is zero or not at a given point $x$. Choose an inertial coordinate system at $x$. Then the partial derivatives of the metric and the Christoffel symbols are zero there. Therefore the covariant derivative of the metric is zero. Since $\nabla_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda}$ is a tensor, this is then true in every coordinate system.


## 2. Stationary and Freely Falling Schwarzschild Observers

(a) The observer is sitting at fixed radius and angles, therefore his worldine 4 -velocity is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x^{\mu}}{d \tau}=u^{\mu}=\left(u^{t}, 0,0,0\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proper time normalisation condition implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\mu} u_{\mu}=-1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u^{t}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2 m}{r}}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(we have chosen $u^{t}>0$ because the oberver evolves forward in time, $\dot{t}>0$ ). The acceleration is then

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{\mu}=\nabla_{\tau} u^{\mu} & =u^{\rho} \nabla_{\rho} u^{\mu} \\
& =u^{t} \partial_{t} u^{\mu}+u^{t} \Gamma_{t t}^{\mu} u^{t} \\
& =\Gamma_{t t}^{\mu} \frac{1}{1-\frac{2 m}{r}} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \rho} \partial_{\rho} g_{t t} \frac{1}{1-\frac{2 m}{r}} \\
\text { for } \mu \neq r & =0 \\
\text { for } \mu=r & =\frac{1}{2} g^{r r} \partial_{r}\left(1-\frac{2 m}{r}\right) \frac{1}{1-\frac{2 m}{r}} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{r} \frac{2 m}{r}=\frac{m}{r^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and therefore the norm of the acceleration is

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{\mu \nu} a^{\mu} a^{\nu} & =g_{r r} a^{r} a^{r} \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\frac{2 m}{r}} \frac{m^{2}}{r^{4}} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that this approaches the Newtonian value $\left(m / r^{2}\right)^{2}$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$, while the required acceleration to keep the stationary observer at rest diverges as $r \rightarrow 2 m$.
(b) For zero angular momentum, and with $\dot{r}_{r=R}=0$ the effective potential equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{2}-1=\dot{r}^{2}-\frac{2 m}{r} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{r}^{2}=\frac{2 m}{r}-\frac{2 m}{R}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which integrates to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{R \rightarrow r_{1}}=-(2 m)^{-1 / 2} \int_{R}^{r_{1}} d r\left(\frac{R r}{R-r}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This integral can be calculated in closed form, e.g. via the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r}{R}=\sin ^{2} \alpha \quad \alpha_{1} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{R \rightarrow r_{1}}=2\left(\frac{R^{3}}{2 m}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{\alpha_{1}}^{\pi / 2} d \alpha \sin ^{2} \alpha=\left(\frac{R^{3}}{2 m}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\alpha-\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \alpha\right]_{\alpha_{1}}^{\pi / 2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r_{1} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha_{1} \rightarrow 0$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{R \rightarrow 0}=\left(\frac{R^{3}}{2 m}\right)^{1 / 2}(\pi / 2)=\pi\left(\frac{R^{3}}{8 m}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R$ and $r_{S}=2 m$ have dimensions of length, thus the quantity above also has dimensions of length, so what we have actually calculated is $c \tau$, not $\tau$. To obtain proper time, we thus need to divide by $c$. Using the approximate values

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R)_{\mathrm{sun}} \approx 7 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~cm} \quad(2 m)_{\mathrm{sun}} \approx 3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~cm} \quad c \approx 3 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

one finds $\tau_{R \rightarrow 0} \approx 2 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~s}$, which is roughly 30 minutes.

