## Solutions to Assignments 03

## 1. Tensor Analysis I: the Covariant Derivative

(a) Consider a covector $A_{\mu}(x)$ and a coordinate transformation $x^{\mu}=x^{\mu}\left(y^{\alpha}\right)$, with Jacobi matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\alpha}^{\mu}=\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\alpha}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a covector, $A_{\mu}$ transforms as $A_{\alpha}=J_{\alpha}^{\mu} A_{\mu}$, and therefore its derivative transforms as (using $\partial_{\beta}=J_{\beta}^{\nu} \partial_{\nu}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\alpha}=J_{\alpha}^{\mu} A_{\mu} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_{\beta} A_{\alpha}=J_{\alpha}^{\mu} J_{\beta}^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}+\left(\partial_{\beta} J_{\alpha}^{\mu}\right) A_{\mu} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\beta} J_{\alpha}^{\mu}=\frac{\partial^{2} x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}}=\partial_{\alpha} J_{\beta}^{\mu} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the anti-symmetrised derivative one finds the tensorial transformation behaviour

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\beta} A_{\alpha}-\partial_{\alpha} A_{\beta}=J_{\alpha}^{\mu} J_{\beta}^{\nu}\left(\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}-\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the Christoffel symbols are symmetric in their lower indices, they always drop out of the anti-symmetrised derivatives of anti-symmetric covariant tensors. In the present (simplest) case of covectors, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\nabla_{\nu} A_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda} A_{\lambda}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}+\Gamma_{\nu \mu}^{\lambda} A_{\lambda}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) - Argument by direct calculation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda} & =\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda}-\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\rho} g_{\rho \lambda}-\Gamma_{\mu \lambda}^{\rho} g_{\nu \rho}  \tag{6}\\
& =\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda}-\Gamma_{\lambda \mu \nu}-\Gamma_{\nu \mu \lambda}=0
\end{align*}
$$

from the explicit form of the Christoffel symbols.

- Alternative argument: Since $\nabla_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda}$ is a tensor, we can choose any coordinate system we like to establish if this tensor is zero or not at a given point $x$. Choose an inertial coordinate system at $x$. Then the partial derivatives of the metric and the Christoffel symbols are zero there. Therefore the covariant derivative of the metric is zero. Since $\nabla_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda}$ is a tensor, this is then true in every coordinate system.


## 2. Stationary and Freely Falling Schwarzschild Observers

(a) The observer is sitting at fixed radius and angles, therefore his worldline 4 -velocity is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x^{\mu}}{d \tau}=u^{\mu}=\left(u^{t}, 0,0,0\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proper time normalisation condition implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\mu} u_{\mu}=-1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u^{t}=f(r)^{-1 / 2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(we have chosen $u^{t}>0$ because the oberver evolves forward in time, $\dot{t}>0$ ). The acceleration is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\mu}=D_{\tau} u^{\mu}=u^{\rho} \nabla_{\rho} u^{\mu}=u^{t} \partial_{t} u^{\mu}+u^{t} \Gamma_{t t}^{\mu} u^{t}=f(r)^{-1} \Gamma_{t t}^{\mu} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Gamma_{t t}^{\mu}$ is only non-zero for $\mu=r$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{r}=f(r)^{-1} \Gamma_{t t}^{r}=-\frac{1}{2} f(r) g^{r r} g_{t t, r}=+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{r} f(r)=m / r^{2} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the norm of the acceleration is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mu \nu} a^{\mu} a^{\nu}=g_{r r} a^{r} a^{r}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{2 m}{r}} \frac{m^{2}}{r^{4}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this approaches the Newtonian value $\left(m / r^{2}\right)^{2}$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$, while the required acceleration to keep the stationary observer at rest diverges as $r \rightarrow 2 m$.
(b) For zero angular momentum, and with $\dot{r}_{r=R}=0$ the effective potential equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{2}-1=\dot{r}^{2}-\frac{2 m}{r} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{r}^{2}=\frac{2 m}{r}-\frac{2 m}{R} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which integrates to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{R \rightarrow r_{1}}=-(2 m)^{-1 / 2} \int_{R}^{r_{1}} d r\left(\frac{R r}{R-r}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This integral can be calculated in closed form, e.g. via the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r}{R}=\sin ^{2} \alpha \quad \alpha_{1} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{R \rightarrow r_{1}}=2\left(\frac{R^{3}}{2 m}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{\alpha_{1}}^{\pi / 2} d \alpha \sin ^{2} \alpha=\left(\frac{R^{3}}{2 m}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\alpha-\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \alpha\right]_{\alpha_{1}}^{\pi / 2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r_{1} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha_{1} \rightarrow 0$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{R \rightarrow 0}=\left(\frac{R^{3}}{2 m}\right)^{1 / 2}(\pi / 2)=\pi\left(\frac{R^{3}}{8 m}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R$ and $r_{S}=2 m$ have dimensions of length, thus the quantity above also has dimensions of length, so what we have actually calculated is $c \tau$, not $\tau$. To obtain proper time, we thus need to divide by $c$. Using the approximate values

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R)_{\operatorname{sun}} \approx 7 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~cm} \quad(2 m)_{\text {sun }} \approx 3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~cm} \quad c \approx 3 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

one finds $\tau_{R \rightarrow 0} \approx 2 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~s}$, which is roughly 30 minutes.

