

SOLUTIONS TO ASSIGNMENTS 04

1. TENSOR ANALYSIS I: THE COVARIANT DERIVATIVE

- (a) Consider a covector $A_\mu(x)$ and a coordinate transformation $x^\mu = x^\mu(y^\alpha)$, with Jacobi matrix

$$J_\alpha^\mu = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^\alpha} . \quad (1)$$

As a covector, A_μ transforms as $A_\alpha = J_\alpha^\mu A_\mu$, and therefore its derivative transforms as (using $\partial_\beta = J_\beta^\nu \partial_\nu$)

$$A_\alpha = J_\alpha^\mu A_\mu \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_\beta A_\alpha = J_\alpha^\mu J_\beta^\nu \partial_\nu A_\mu + (\partial_\beta J_\alpha^\mu) A_\mu . \quad (2)$$

Because of

$$\partial_\beta J_\alpha^\mu = \frac{\partial^2 x^\mu}{\partial y^\alpha \partial y^\beta} = \partial_\alpha J_\beta^\mu , \quad (3)$$

for the anti-symmetrised derivative one finds the tensorial transformation behaviour

$$\partial_\beta A_\alpha - \partial_\alpha A_\beta = J_\alpha^\mu J_\beta^\nu (\partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_\nu) . \quad (4)$$

Because the Christoffel symbols are symmetric in their lower indices, they always drop out of the anti-symmetrised derivatives of anti-symmetric covariant tensors. In the present (simplest) case of covectors, one has

$$\nabla_\mu A_\nu - \nabla_\nu A_\mu = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda A_\lambda - \partial_\nu A_\mu + \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\lambda A_\lambda = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu . \quad (5)$$

- (b) • Argument by direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} &= \partial_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho g_{\rho\lambda} - \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\rho g_{\nu\rho} \\ &= \partial_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} - \Gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu} - \Gamma_{\nu\mu\lambda} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

from the explicit form of the Christoffel symbols.

- Alternative argument: Since $\nabla_\mu g_{\nu\lambda}$ is a tensor, we can choose any coordinate system we like to establish if this tensor is zero or not at a given point x . Choose an inertial coordinate system at x . Then the partial derivatives of the metric and the Christoffel symbols are zero there. Therefore the covariant derivative of the metric is zero. Since $\nabla_\mu g_{\nu\lambda}$ is a tensor, this is then true in every coordinate system.

2. STATIONARY AND FREELY FALLING SCHWARZSCHILD OBSERVERS

- (a) The observer is sitting at fixed radius and angles, therefore his worldline 4-velocity is of the form

$$\frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} = u^\mu = (u^t, 0, 0, 0) . \quad (7)$$

The proper time normalisation condition implies

$$u^\mu u_\mu = -1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u^t = f(r)^{-1/2} \quad (8)$$

(we have chosen $u^t > 0$ because the observer evolves forward in time, $t > 0$).

The acceleration is then

$$a^\mu = D_\tau u^\mu = u^\rho \nabla_\rho u^\mu = u^t \partial_t u^\mu + u^t \Gamma_{tt}^\mu u^t = f(r)^{-1} \Gamma_{tt}^\mu . \quad (9)$$

Γ_{tt}^μ is only non-zero for $\mu = r$. Thus

$$a^r = f(r)^{-1} \Gamma_{tt}^r = -\frac{1}{2} f(r) g^{rr} g_{tt,r} = +\frac{1}{2} \partial_r f(r) = m/r^2 . \quad (10)$$

Therefore the norm of the acceleration is

$$g_{\mu\nu} a^\mu a^\nu = g_{rr} a^r a^r = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} \frac{m^2}{r^4} . \quad (11)$$

Note that this approaches the Newtonian value $(m/r^2)^2$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$, while the required acceleration to keep the stationary observer at rest diverges as $r \rightarrow 2m$.

- (b) For zero angular momentum, and with $\dot{r}_{r=R} = 0$ the effective potential equation reduces to

$$E^2 - 1 = \dot{r}^2 - \frac{2m}{r} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{r}^2 = \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{2m}{R} , \quad (12)$$

which integrates to

$$\tau_{R \rightarrow r_1} = -(2m)^{-1/2} \int_R^{r_1} dr \left(\frac{Rr}{R-r} \right)^{1/2} . \quad (13)$$

This integral can be calculated in closed form, e.g. via the change of variables

$$\frac{r}{R} = \sin^2 \alpha \quad \alpha_1 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2} , \quad (14)$$

leading to

$$\tau_{R \rightarrow r_1} = 2 \left(\frac{R^3}{2m} \right)^{1/2} \int_{\alpha_1}^{\pi/2} d\alpha \sin^2 \alpha = \left(\frac{R^3}{2m} \right)^{1/2} \left[\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\alpha \right]_{\alpha_1}^{\pi/2} . \quad (15)$$

For $r_1 \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha_1 \rightarrow 0$ one obtains

$$\tau_{R \rightarrow 0} = \left(\frac{R^3}{2m} \right)^{1/2} (\pi/2) = \pi \left(\frac{R^3}{8m} \right)^{1/2} \quad (16)$$

R and $r_S = 2m$ have dimensions of length, thus the quantity above also has dimensions of length, so what we have actually calculated is $c\tau$, not τ . To obtain proper time, we thus need to divide by c . Using the approximate values

$$(R)_{\text{sun}} \approx 7 \times 10^{10} \text{cm} \quad (2m)_{\text{sun}} \approx 3 \times 10^5 \text{cm} \quad c \approx 3 \times 10^{10} \text{cm s}^{-1} \quad (17)$$

one finds $\tau_{R \rightarrow 0} \approx 2 \times 10^3 \text{s}$, which is roughly 30 minutes.