

# KFT SOLUTIONS 03

## 1. INHOMOGENEOUS MAXWELL-EQUATIONS AND POTENTIALS

- (a) Under the gauge transformation  $A_\beta \rightarrow A_\beta + \partial_\beta \Psi$ ,  $F_{\alpha\beta}$  transforms as

$$\partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha \rightarrow \partial_\alpha A_\beta + \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \Psi - \partial_\beta A_\alpha - \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha \Psi = \partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha \quad (1)$$

and therefore  $F_{\alpha\beta}$  is gauge-invariant.

- (b) With  $A_\alpha = (-\phi/c, \vec{A})$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} F_{0k} &= -F_{k0} = \partial_0 A_k - \partial_k A_0 = c^{-1}(\partial_t A_k + \partial_k \phi) = -E_k/c \\ F_{ik} &= \partial_i A_k - \partial_k A_i = \epsilon_{ik\ell} B_\ell \quad (F_{12} = B_3 \quad \text{etc.}) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and therefore, with  $F^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\beta\delta} F_{\gamma\delta}$ ,

$$F^{0k} = -F^{k0} = -F_{0k} = E_k/c \quad , \quad F^{ik} = F_{ik} = \epsilon_{ik\ell} B_\ell \quad . \quad (3)$$

- (c) Thus, with  $J^\alpha = (\rho c, \vec{J})$  one has

$$\partial_\alpha F^{\alpha 0} = \partial_k F^{k0} = -c^{-1} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = -\rho/(\epsilon_0 c) = -\mu_0 c \rho = -\mu_0 J^0 \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\alpha F^{\alpha 1} &= \partial_0 F^{01} + \partial_2 F^{21} + \partial_3 F^{31} = c^{-2} \partial_t E_1 - \partial_2 B_3 + \partial_3 B_2 \\ &= -(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t \vec{E})_1 = -\mu_0 J_1 = -\mu_0 J^1 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

(and likewise for the 2- and 3-components).

- (d) One has

$$\partial_\alpha F^{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha \partial^\alpha A^\beta - \partial_\alpha \partial^\beta A^\alpha = \square A^\beta - \partial^\beta \partial_\alpha A^\alpha = -\mu_0 J^\beta \quad (6)$$

and therefore  $\square A_\beta - \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha A^\alpha = -\mu_0 J_\beta$ .

- (e) One has

$$\square(A_\beta + \partial_\beta \Psi) - \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha (A^\alpha + \partial^\alpha \Psi) = \square A_\beta + \partial_\beta \square \Psi - \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha A^\alpha - \partial_\beta \square \Psi \quad (7)$$

Since the  $\square \Psi$ -term cancels, the expression is gauge invariant.

- (f) From  $\partial_\alpha F^{\alpha\beta} = -\mu_0 J^\beta$  one deduces  $-\mu_0 \partial_\beta J^\beta = \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha F^{\alpha\beta} = 0$  because  $F^{\alpha\beta} = -F^{\beta\alpha}$  is anti-symmetric while  $\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta = \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha$  is symmetric.

## 2. THE HOMOGENEUS MAXWELL-EQUATIONS

- (a) One has  $\partial_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} = \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta A_\gamma - \partial_\alpha \partial_\gamma A_\beta$  etc. Using the fact that 2nd partial derivatives commute one deduces

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} + \partial_\gamma F_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_\beta F_{\gamma\alpha} \\ = & \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta A_\gamma - \partial_\gamma \partial_\alpha A_\beta + \partial_\gamma \partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta \partial_\gamma A_\alpha + \partial_\beta \partial_\gamma A_\alpha - \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta A_\gamma = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

- (b)  $\partial_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} + \partial_\beta F_{\gamma\alpha} + \partial_\gamma F_{\alpha\beta} = 0$

- i. Two indices equal ( $\alpha = \beta$ , say):  $\partial_\alpha F_{\alpha\gamma} + \partial_\alpha F_{\gamma\alpha} + \partial_\gamma F_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$  is identically satisfied because  $F_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$ ,  $F_{\alpha\gamma} + F_{\gamma\alpha} = 0$ .
- ii. All 3 indices spatial,  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 2, 3)$ :

$$\partial_1 F_{23} + \partial_2 F_{31} + \partial_3 F_{12} = \partial_1 B_1 + \partial_2 B_2 + \partial_3 B_3 = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \quad (9)$$

- iii. One index time, the others spatial, e.g.  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (0, 1, 2)$ :

$$\partial_0 F_{12} + \partial_1 F_{20} + \partial_2 F_{01} = c^{-1}(\partial_t B_3 + \partial_1 E_2 - \partial_2 E_1) = c^{-1}(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} + \partial_t \vec{B})_3 = 0 \quad (10)$$

(and likewise for the other components).

## 3. THE DUAL FIELD STRENGTH TENSOR

The dual field strength tensor is defined by

$$\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} F_{\gamma\delta} . \quad (11)$$

With  $F_{0i} = -c^{-1} E_i$ ,  $F_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} B_k$  and  $\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} F_{\gamma\delta}$  one gets for the non-zero components of  $\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta}$  :

$$\tilde{F}^{0i} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{0i\gamma\delta} F_{\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{0ijk} F_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{0ijk} \epsilon_{jkl} B_l = -B_i \quad (12)$$

$$\tilde{F}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij\gamma\delta} F_{\gamma\delta} = \epsilon^{ij0k} F_{0k} = -c^{-1} \epsilon^{ij0k} E_k = c^{-1} \epsilon^{ijk} E_k . \quad (13)$$

The equation  $\partial_\lambda \tilde{F}^{\lambda\delta} = 0$  can then be written as

$$\partial_\lambda \tilde{F}^{\lambda 0} = -\partial_i \tilde{F}^{0i} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\lambda \tilde{F}^{\lambda j} &= \partial_0 \tilde{F}^{0j} + \partial_i \tilde{F}^{ij} = -c^{-1} \partial_t B_j - c^{-1} \epsilon_{jik} \partial_i E_k \\ &= -\frac{1}{c} \left( \partial_t \vec{B} + \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} \right)_j = 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

which proves the assertion.