

KFT SOLUTIONS 04

1. THE HOMOGENEUS MAXWELL-EQUATIONS

- (a) One has $\partial_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} = \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta A_\gamma - \partial_\alpha \partial_\gamma A_\beta$ etc. Using the fact that 2nd partial derivatives commute one deduces

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} + \partial_\gamma F_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_\beta F_{\gamma\alpha} \\ = & \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta A_\gamma - \partial_\gamma \partial_\alpha A_\beta + \partial_\gamma \partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta \partial_\gamma A_\alpha + \partial_\beta \partial_\gamma A_\alpha - \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta A_\gamma = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

(b) $\partial_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} + \partial_\beta F_{\gamma\alpha} + \partial_\gamma F_{\alpha\beta} = 0$

- i. Two indices equal ($\alpha = \beta$, say): $\partial_\alpha F_{\alpha\gamma} + \partial_\alpha F_{\gamma\alpha} + \partial_\gamma F_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$ is identically satisfied because $F_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$, $F_{\alpha\gamma} + F_{\gamma\alpha} = 0$.
- ii. All 3 indices spatial, $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 2, 3)$:

$$\partial_1 F_{23} + \partial_2 F_{31} + \partial_3 F_{21} = \partial_1 B_1 + \partial_2 B_2 + \partial_3 B_3 = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \quad (2)$$

- iii. One index time, the others spatial, e.g. $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (0, 1, 2)$:

$$\partial_0 F_{12} + \partial_1 F_{20} + \partial_2 F_{01} = c^{-1}(\partial_t B_3 + \partial_1 E_2 - \partial_2 E_1) = c^{-1}(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} + \partial_t \vec{B})_3 = 0 \quad (3)$$

(and likewise for the other components).

2. THE DUAL FIELD STRENGTH TENSOR

The dual field strength tensor is defined by

$$\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} F_{\gamma\delta} \quad (4)$$

With $F_{0i} = -c^{-1}E_i$, $F_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}B_k$ and $\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} F_{\gamma\delta}$ one gets for the non-zero components of $\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta}$:

$$\tilde{F}^{0i} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{0i\gamma\delta} F_{\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{0ijk} F_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{0ijk} \epsilon_{jkl} B_l = -B_i \quad (5)$$

$$\tilde{F}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij\gamma\delta} F_{\gamma\delta} = \epsilon^{ij0k} F_{0k} = -c^{-1} \epsilon^{ij0k} E_k = c^{-1} \epsilon^{ijk} E_k \quad (6)$$

Note that $\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta}$ can be obtained from $F^{\alpha\beta}$ by the replacement $\vec{B} \rightarrow \vec{E}/c$, $\vec{E}/c \rightarrow -\vec{B}$ (“electro-magnetic duality transformation”).

The equation $\partial_\lambda \tilde{F}^{\lambda\delta} = 0$ can then be written as

$$\partial_\lambda \tilde{F}^{\lambda 0} = -\partial_i \tilde{F}^{0i} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\lambda \tilde{F}^{\lambda j} &= \partial_0 \tilde{F}^{0j} + \partial_i \tilde{F}^{ij} = -c^{-1} \partial_t B_j - c^{-1} \epsilon_{jik} \partial_i E_k \\ &= -\frac{1}{c} \left(\partial_t \vec{B} + \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} \right)_j = 0 \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

which proves the assertion.

3. LORENTZ INVARIANTS

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{4} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4} (F_{0i} F^{0i} + F_{i0} F^{i0} + F_{ij} F^{ij}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (-(F_{0i})^2 + (F_{ij})^2) = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{B}^2 - c^{-2} \vec{E}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{1}{4} F_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4} (F_{0i} \tilde{F}^{0i} + F_{i0} \tilde{F}^{i0} + F_{ij} \tilde{F}^{ij}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (2c^{-1} E_i B_i + \epsilon_{ijk} B_k c^{-1} \epsilon^{ijl} E_l) = c^{-1} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where one has used $\epsilon^{ijl} \epsilon_{ijk} = 2\delta_k^l$. If $\vec{E} = 0$ in one inertial system, then $I_1 > 0$ and $I_2 = 0$ in all inertial systems, and thus $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$ and $|\vec{E}| < |\vec{B}|$ in all inertial systems.

(b)

$$8I_2 = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} F_{\alpha\beta} F_{\gamma\delta} = 2\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} (\partial_\alpha A_\beta) F_{\gamma\delta} = 2\partial_\alpha (\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} A_\beta F_{\gamma\delta}) \quad (11)$$

because $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \partial_\alpha F_{\gamma\delta} = 0$ (Bianchi identity). Thus I_2 is a total derivative, $I_2 = \partial_\alpha C^\alpha$. C^α is not gauge invariant but changes by a total derivative under a gauge transformation, because

$$\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} A_\beta F_{\gamma\delta} \rightarrow \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} A_\beta F_{\gamma\delta} + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \partial_\beta \psi F_{\gamma\delta} \quad (12)$$

and

$$\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \partial_\beta \psi F_{\gamma\delta} = \partial_\beta (\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \psi F_{\gamma\delta}) \quad (13)$$

(again by the Bianchi identity).