

SOLUTIONS TO ASSIGNMENTS 01B

1. GEOMETRY : ANALYTIC MINKOWSKI-GEOMETRY

Because of Lorentz invariance one can choose to work in the rest frame in which the timelike vector v has the components $v = (v^0, 0, 0, 0)$, with $v^0 \neq 0$.

- (a) Show that $w \cdot v = 0$ implies that w is spacelike, $w^2 > 0$:

In this case one has

$$v \cdot w = \eta_{ab} v^a w^b = -v^0 w^0 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad w^0 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad w = (0, w^1, w^2, w^3) \quad (1)$$

and thus w is manifestly spacelike,

$$w^2 = \eta_{ab} w^a w^b = (w^1)^2 + (w^2)^2 + (w^3)^2 > 0 \quad . \quad (2)$$

- (b) Show that any timelike v can be written as a sum of two lightlike vectors:

Decompose v as

$$v = (v^0, 0, 0, 0) = \frac{1}{2}(v^0, v^0, 0, 0) + \frac{1}{2}(v^0, -v^0, 0, 0) \quad (3)$$

Both vectors on the right-hand side are lightlike. Indeed, for any vector $w = (w^0, w^1, 0, 0)$ one has

$$\eta_{ab} w^a w^b = -(w^0)^2 + (w^1)^2 = -(w^0)^2 + (w^0)^2 = 0 \quad . \quad (4)$$

- (c) Sum of spacelike vectors and sum of timelike vectors

The sum of two spacelike vectors is *not* necessarily spacelike. As a counterexample, consider the vectors $u = (a, b, 0, 0)$ and $v = (a, -b, 0, 0)$; these are spacelike for $|b| > |a|$ but their sum $u + v = (2a, 0, 0, 0)$ is clearly timelike. This shows that one should not think that for spacelike vectors Minkowski geometry reduces to Euclidean geometry.

The sum of two timelike vectors is *not* necessarily timelike. As a counterexample, consider the vectors $u = (a, b, 0, 0)$ and $v = (-a, b, 0, 0)$; these are timelike for $|b| < |a|$ but their sum $u + v = (0, 2b, 0, 0)$ is clearly spacelike. What *is* true is that the sum of two future-pointing ($u^0 > 0, v^0 > 0$) timelike vectors is future-pointing and timelike.